1 The Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez 2 Noted for: January 29, 2021 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 JIKIRI BAUTISTA, an individual, ARI SILVA, an individual; 9 NO. 2:18-cv-00757 RSM 10 Plaintiff, DECLARATION OF DUNCAN C. v. TURNER IN SUPPORT OF 11 STIPULATED MOTION FOR WFS EXPRESS, a Delaware corporation, CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT 12 CONSOLIDATED AVIATION SERVICES. CLASS AND FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT a New York corporation; 13 Defendants. 14 15 Duncan C. Turner declares and states: 16 I am lead counsel for the plaintiff in this action. I am making this declaration in 17 support of the Stipulated Motion for Certification of Settlement Class and for Preliminary 18 Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "Stipulated Motion"). I have personal knowledge of 19 the matters stated herein and am competent to testify as to the same. 20 2. The action was filed in King County Superior Court on April 25, 2018, and then 21 removed to federal court on May 25, 2018. At the parties' request, the Court repeatedly modified 22 its scheduling orders to allow time for additional documentary discovery and analysis. Beginning 23 24 in June 2019, the Parties began efforts to coordinate a mediation in hopes of resolving the 25 DECLARATION OF DUNCAN TURNER IN BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC 19929 Ballinger Way NE, Suite 200 SUPPORT OF STIPULATED MOTION FOR Seattle, WA 98155 CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT CLASS AND TEL 206.621.6566 FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS FAX 206.621.9686 SETTLEMENT - 1

dispute. The Parties agreed to mediate with Judge Steve Scott, ret. The mediation was originally

set for October 23, 2019 but was eventually re-scheduled to February 10, 2020. On February 10, 2020, the Parties participated in a six-hour mediation session with Judge Scott but were unable to reach resolution. Following the unsuccessful mediation, counsels for the Parties continued their discovery and settlement efforts, and a Conditional Settlement Agreement was signed on January 8, 2021. *See* Exhibit One, attached hereto.

3. The settlement negotiations were at all times adversarial, non-collusive, and at

- 3. The settlement negotiations were at all times adversarial, non-collusive, and at arm's length. I believe the settlement is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the settlement class, which consists of approximately 943 current and former non-exempt employees of Defendants.
- 4. One aspect of evaluating and investigating this case is consideration of the alternative of the class members pursuing individual actions. A primary consideration was whether a substantial portion of the class would actually pursue their claims, and certain demographic factors of the class suggested that this was unlikely. For those who did pursue their claims, the process would likely be highly inefficient. For a large number of individual lawyers to research, brief, and oppose the complex preemption defense in individual proceedings, I estimate that over 100 hours would be required. Even for counsel that understand and have briefed the common legal issues, mounting and presenting each case would require an estimated 45 to 50 hours of attorney and paralegal time. On the other side, the defense costs would likely be commensurate. I believe both sides recognize the inefficiency that this would present in the instant case.

5. To determine the size of the class members, the Plaintiffs sought, and Defendant provided the relevant timekeeping records from which can be derived the identity of class members, their regular and overtime hourly wages, and the number of missed or deducted meal periods.

- 6. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Defendants agreed to pay Six Hundred and Fifteen Thousand Dollars (the "Gross Settlement Fund"). The Gross Settlement Fund represents the negotiated value for missed meal periods, deducted meal periods, meal periods outside the hourly periods prescribed by statute, and missed rest periods. The negotiated value takes into account the risk that the Plaintiffs would not recover damages for missed rest periods, due to a lack of supporting documentary evidence. In addition, the negotiated value also takes into account the risk that Defendants could demonstrate that employees did in fact receive their proper meal periods through testimonial evidence, and that the electronic timekeeping records were incorrect or incomplete. Finally, the settlement also reflects that the Defendants assert that employees would recover nothing for their claims, and would oppose class certification. For these reasons, the proposed settlement of \$615,000.00 is a reasonable, negotiated settlement value.
- 7. The class size, according to the Defendants' timekeeping records, consists of 943 claimants (past and current hourly employees at Defendants' Seattle-Tacoma International Airport facility.)¹ The Gross Settlement Fund represents the monetary value of missed and deducted meal periods (approx. \$466,000), with additional contributions for attorney's fees,

 $^{^1}$ See Parties' proposed class definition for detailed description of factual qualifications for class members. Exhibit One, $\P1.1$.

litigation costs, and incentive awards. It is expected that employees will receive approximately 90.3% percent of their regular and overtime hourly wages for missed and deducted meal periods.

- 8. The average recovery (before allocation for attorney's fees and costs) to employees is approximately \$448.17. The range between the lowest and highest recovery will be determined by the number of missed and deducted meal periods reflected on their individual timekeeping records. Administration costs will be paid by the Defendants. The Settlement Agreement provides that, should ten percent (10%) or more of the class members opt out of the Class, Defendants shall have the option of terminating the settlement.
- 9. We are seeking appointment of Badgley Mullins Turner PLLC and the Law Office of Abel M. Tsegga, PLLC as class counsel. Mr. Tsegga has filed a separate declaration regarding his background and qualification. Those of me and my firm are described below.
- 10. I am the managing member of Badgley Mullins Turner PLLC, counsel of record in this matter, and I have served in that position for over 14 years. Prior to joining my current firm, I was an attorney with the Bogle & Gates law firm in Seattle.
- 11. I am admitted to practice before this court and am a member in good standing of the bars of the State of Washington and (in an inactive status) the State of Mississippi. I am also admitted to practice in the Eastern District of Washington; the District of Colorado; the U.S. Court of Federal Claims; the U.S. Courts of Appeal for the Fifth, Ninth, and Federal Circuits; the U.S. Tax Court; and the Court of International Trade. I have been "AV" rated by my peers in Martindale Hubbell in every year in which I have been eligible (approximately 20 years). I was selected as a Washington "Super Lawyer" by Thomson Reuters in the years 2014, 2015 and 2016.

- 12. I received my undergraduate degree (Bachelor of Science) from the United States Military Academy at West Point, N.Y., in 1974. I received a Juris Doctor degree (Magna Cum Laude) from the University of Mississippi in 1990 and concurrently was awarded a Master of Business Administration degree.
- 13. Badgley Mullins Turner PLLC ("BMT") is a Seattle-based law firm with a broad practice that focuses on complex civil and commercial litigation with an emphasis on business disputes, employment claims, antitrust litigation, and intellectual property litigation. BMT has recent experience acting as co-counsel in the following class actions/putative class actions and shareholder derivative cases:

Eicher v. Advantage OPCO et al	Western Dist. Washington	2016
Hussein et al v. Air Serv Corporation	Western Dist. Washington	2016
Hufune et al v. Bags, Inc.	Western Dist. Washington	2016
Abdi v. Avis Budget Group, Inc. et al	Western Dist. Washington	2016
Jesse et al v. DAL Global, Inc.	Western Dist. Washington	2016
Ogawa et al v. Dufry AG et al	Western Dist. Washington	2016
Jama et al v. GCA Services Group, Inc.	Western Dist. Washington	2016
Jama et al v. Golden Gate America LLC	Western Dist. Washington	2016
Hirsi v. The Hertz Corporation	Western Dist. Washington	2016
Nugussie et al v. HMS Host North America et al	Western Dist. Washington	2016
Muse v. Huntleigh USA Corporation	Western Dist. Washington	2016
Ali et al v. Menzies et al	Western Dist. Washington	2016
Abdi et al v. Prospect International Services Corp.	Western Dist. Washington	2016

DECLARATION OF DUNCAN TURNER IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATED MOTION FOR CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT CLASS AND FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT - 5

BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC

19929 Ballinger Way NE, Suite 200 Seattle, WA 98155 TEL 206.621.6566 FAX 206.621.9686

1	Allen, et al. v. Flight Services & Systems,	Western Dist. Washington	2016
2	Inc.		• 0.1.1
3	Ahmed et al v. Aircraft Service International Group et al	King County, Washington	2016
4	Ali et al v. ATZ, Inc. et al	King County, Washington	2016
5	Ahmed v. Fox Rent-A-Car, Inc.	King County, Washington	2016
6	Ali v. Consolidated Aviation Services, Inc.,	King County, Washington	2016
7	Judd et al v. Swissport USA, Inc.	King County, Washington	2016
8	Israel v. Diamond Parking Svc., Inc.	Western Dist. Washington	2016
9	DesJardins v. USHEALTH Advisors, LLC	Western Dist. Washington	2016
10	Emerson v. Premera Blue Cross	Western Dist. Washington	2015
11	Barovic v. Ballmer (Microsoft)	Western Dist. Washington	2014
12	Bessent v. Lee (L&L Energy)	King County, Washington	2013
13 14	Rinky Dink, Inc. v. Electronic Merchant Sytems, Inc.	Western Dist. Washington	2013
15	Weinstein v. Kirkman	Western Dist. Washington	2013
16	Simpson v. Inter-con Security Systems, Inc.	Western Dist. Washington	2012
17	Judd v. AT&T, Inc.	King County, Washington	2012
18	In re Soda Co. Derivative Litigation	King County, Washington	2007
19			
20	14. To date, BMT has incurred more than 418 labor hours prosecuting this matter. This		
21	work includes conducting documentary discovery, contacting putative class members,		
22	responding to Defendants' discovery requests, analyzing Defendants' documentation and		
23	timekeeping records, and participating in settlement discussions. BMT is prepared to continue to		

DECLARATION OF DUNCAN TURNER IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATED MOTION FOR CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT CLASS AND FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT - 6

BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC

19929 Ballinger Way NE, Suite 200 Seattle, WA 98155 TEL 206.621.6566 FAX 206.621.9686

1	make the requisite investments of time, talent and money to ensure a competent and professional			
2	prosecution of the instant case.			
3	15. Defendants have agreed to retain a third-party Claims Administrators and to bear al			
4	of the costs of administering the class settlement			
5	16. Plaintiffs seek a modest incentive award of \$4,000 each. Although the Plaintiffs			
6	were not deposed, their contributions were substantial. Their willingness to serve in this capacity			
7	established a settlement fund in which many of their fellow workers will receive compensation.			
8	17. I have attached to this declaration as Exhibit Two a true and correct copy of the			
9	proposed notice to class members.			
10				
12	I swear under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my			
13	knowledge and belief.			
14	DATED this 29 th day of January, 2021 at Seattle, Washington			
15				
16	/s/ Duncan C. Turner_ Duncan C. Turner, WSBA # 20597			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25	DECLARATION OF DUNCAN TURNER IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATED MOTION FOR CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT CLASS AND BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC 19929 Ballinger Way NE, Suite 200 Seattle, WA 98155 TEL 206.621.6566			

FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT - 7

FAX 206.621.9686